2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION COMMISSION SECRETARIAT

999 E Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20463

FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT

MUR: 5011

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
SECRETARIAT
2003 AUG 12 P 4: 21

SENSITIVE

DATE COMPLAINT FILED: May 12, 2000 DATE OF NOTIFICATION: May 19, 2000

DATE ACTIVATED: June 5, 2001

EXPIRATION OF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS: April 15, 2005

COMPLAINANT: Rickey Jamerson

RESPONDENTS: Charlie A. Dooley

Dooley for Congress Committee and

Everet Ballard, as Treasurer

Normandy Township Regular Democratic Club and

Everet Ballard, as Treasurer

Health Care Leadership Committee and

John J. Sharamitaro, as Treasurer Citizens for Good Government, and

Francis Brady, as Treasurer

Committee to Elect Jeff Wagener, and

Mark Monia, as Treasurer

Committee to Elect Rita Days and

Frank S. Days, as Treasurer

RELEVANT STATUTES: 2 U.S.C. § 441b

11 C.F.R. § 102.5(b)

34 INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED: Disclosure Reports

35 FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED: None

36 I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- The Complainant alleges that Charlie Dooley ("Candidate"), an unsuccessful candidate for
- 38 U.S. Representative in Missouri's 1st Congressional District during 2000 election, his principal
- 39 campaign committee, Dooley for Congress and Everet Ballard, as Treasurer, violated the Federal
- 40 Election Campaign Act by accepting contributions from nonfederal political committees and other

First General Counsel's Report

- organizations that may have accepted funds from national banks, corporations, or labor 1
- organizations. 1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

II. **FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS**

A. Law 4

> Under the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), contributions to candidates and political committees are subject to certain limitations and prohibitions. Among other things, candidates and political committees are prohibited from accepting corporate or union contributions. 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a); 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(d).

> An organization that is not a political committee under the Act² and that makes contributions to federal candidates or committees must follow one of two alternative procedures. 11 C.F.R. §§ 102.5(b)(1)(i) and (ii). The organization may establish a separate account into which funds subject to the prohibitions and limitations of the Act shall be deposited and from which contributions, expenditures and exempted payments shall be made. Ibid. Alternatively, the organization may demonstrate through a reasonable accounting method that whenever such organization makes a contribution, expenditure or exempted payment, that organization has received sufficient funds subject to the limitations and prohibitions of the Act to make such contribution, expenditure or payment. Ibid.

18

¹ The Complainant has filed two previous complaints against the Dooley campaign during this election. MURs 4985 and 5001. In responding to similar allegations in MUR 4985 the Dooley campaign stated, "the contributions in each case were allowable under federal law." The Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion to take no action and close the file in MUR 4985 due to the matter's low Enforcement Priority System rating. In MUR 5001, the Commission found reason to believe that the Dooley for Congress Committee and Everet Ballard, as Treasurer, violated § 441d(a) of the Act, but took no further action and closed the file.

² The Act defines a political committee as any committee, club, association, or other group of persons which receives contributions aggregating in excess of \$1,000 during a calendar year or which makes expenditures aggregating in excess of \$1,000 during a calendar year. 2 U.S.C. § 431(4)(A); see also, 11 C.F.R. § 100.5.

The treasurer of a political committee is responsible for examining all contributions received by the political committee for evidence of illegality. 11 C.F.R. § 103.3(b). Contributions that present genuine questions as to whether they were made by legal sources may be deposited into a campaign depository or returned to the contributor. 11 C.F.R. § 103.3(b)(1). If any such contribution is deposited, the treasurer shall make his or her best efforts to determine the legality of the contribution. 2 U.S.C. § 432(i); 11 C.F.R. § 103.3(b)(1); 11 C.F.R. § 104.7(a).

Under Missouri law, candidates for state office and state political committees may lawfully accept contributions from corporations and labor unions. Mo. Ann. Stats. § 130.029. Missouri law limits contributions to candidates for state office. The limit amount varies by office, with the highest limit being \$1,075.00 during the time period relevant to this case. Mo. Ann. Stats. § 132.032. In Nixon v. Shrink Missouri Government PAC, 528 U.S. 377, 120 S.Ct. 897 (2000), the United States Supreme Court upheld the contribution limits in Section 132.032. However, these Missouri candidate contribution limits were not in effect during an extended period immediately preceding the events in question in this case because of an injunction that was lifted only after the Supreme Court's decision. See Shrink Missouri Government PAC v. Adams, 204 F.3d 838, 843 (8th Cir. 2000). (The injunction was lifted on February 29, 2000; the five contributions at issue here all were reported as received on March 30 or 31, 2000).

B. Facts

The complaint focuses on Dooley for Congress ("Dooley Committee") and five entities that contributed to it: (1) the Normandy Township Regular Democratic Club, (2) the Health Care Leadership Committee, (3) Citizens for Good Government, (4) the Committee to Elect Jeff Wagener.³ and (5) the Committee to Elect Rita Days. Complainant alleges that each of these five

³ The complaint misspells "Wagener" as "Wagner."

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26



- 1 contributors may have received funds from national banks, corporations, or labor organizations, and
- 2 that such funds tainted the subsequent contribution each made to the Dooley Committee.
- 3 The Dooley Committee's Amended April 2000 Quarterly Report discloses the following
- 4 relevant contributions:

5	Contributor:	Amount Contributed:	Date of Receipt
6		-	
7	Normandy Township Regular	\$500.00	March 31, 2000
8	Democratic Club		
9	Health Care Leadership Committee	\$250.00	March 30, 2000
10	Citizens for Good Government	\$900.00	March 31, 2000
11	Committee to Elect Jeff Wagener	\$1000.00	March 31, 2000
12	Committee to Elect Rita Days	\$500.00	March 31, 2000
13			

The candidate and the Dooley Committee have not responded to the complaint.

Respondent Normandy Township Regular Democratic Club ("Normandy") is a Missouri nonprofit corporation, according to records available at the Missouri Secretary of State's website. Everet Ballard is the treasurer of Normandy. Normandy is not registered with the Commission as a federal political committee, and is not presently registered with the Missouri Ethics Commission as state political committee. The five hundred dollar (\$500) contribution from Normandy was reported by the Dooley Committee as a party transfer on line 11B of Schedule A of its Amended April 2000 Ouarterly Report. In response to the complaint, Normandy writes, "Nearly all of the funds collected by the club each year come from individuals therefore; [sic] the contribution made by the club to the Dooley for Congress [sic] is legal and permissible under the law." Letter of Everet Ballard, May 23, 2000.

The Health Care Leadership Committee (HCLC) is a federal political committee. John Sharamitaro is HCLC's treasurer. HCLC's response to the complaint states that its federal account

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21



- 1 has never accepted contributions from national banks, corporations, or labor unions. It goes on to
- 2 state, "All contributions received from the inception of the organization have been from
- 3 individuals." HCLC Response, Letter of John J. Sharamitaro, June 1, 2000. While the Dooley
- 4 Committee's April 2000 Quarterly Report does not specify that the contribution-in-question from
- HCLC is from the latter's federal account, HCLC's response indicates that the contribution to the 5
- Dooley Committee was from HCLC's federal account. HCLC's April 2000 Quarterly Report 6
- discloses the \$250 contribution to the Dooley Committee. 7

Citizens for Good Government ("CGG Committee"), Francis Brady, Treasurer, is listed at the Missouri Ethics Commission website as a terminated state political committee.⁴ The CGG Committee was notified of the complaint, but has not responded.

The Committee to Elect Jeff Wagener ("Wagener Committee"), Mark Monia, Treasurer, is a state political committee in Missouri. Mr. Wagener has been a member of the St. Louis County Council since 1996. In response to the complaint, he asserts that "on the date of the \$1,000.00 contribution ... to the Dooley for Congress Committee, the Committee to Elect Jeff Wagener had sufficient funds in excess of \$1,000.00 in its account, lawful under federal law in that such funds in excess of that amount were not from corporations, labor organizations, foreign nationals, or government contractors." Wagener Committee Response, Letter of Jeff Wagener, June 2, 2000.

The Committee to Elect Rita Days ("Days Committee"), Frank S. Days, Treasurer, is a state political committee in Missouri. Representative Days is a member of the Missouri House of Representatives. In response to the complaint, the treasurer asserts that he reviewed the Days Committee's state campaign election reports for prior two-year period and "found no contributions

The Missouri Ethics Commission website actually lists three terminated committees with the name "Citizens for Good Government." The committee referred to in the text above has an address, which matches the corresponding contributor address on the Dooley for Congress 2000 April Quarterly Report.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

of the nature being questioned." Days Committee Response, Letter of Frank S. Days, May 31,

3 2000.

C. Analysis

The complaint notes that the Dooley Committee accepted contributions from political entities that may have themselves had accepted contributions from national banks, corporations, and/or labor unions. The complainant alleges that the presence of the funds from national banks, corporations, and labor unions, which may not be used for contributions to federal candidates under the FECA, in the treasuries of these political entities tainted the contribution each of these political entities made to the Dooley Committee.

(1) Normandy Township Regular Democratic Club

According to the Missouri Secretary of State's website, Normandy Township Democratic Club is a domestic nonprofit corporation. As a corporation, Normandy is subject to the Act's prohibition against corporate contributions. ⁵ 2 U.S.C. § 441b. Thus, Normandy's \$500 contribution to the Dooley Committee may have violated Section 441b. ⁶

16

⁵ The Commission's regulations provide an exemption for certain non-profit corporations from the Act's provisions about independent expenditures. 11 C.F.R. § 114.10. However, even if Normandy demonstrates qualified non-profit corporation status under Section 114.10, that regulation does not apply to making federal political contributions. See. Federal Election Com'n v. Beaumont, 123 S.Ct. 220, 156 L.Ed. 179, decided June 16, 2003 (upholding provisions of Act which prohibit non-profit corporation from making contributions to federal candidates and political committees).

⁶ Normandy's response that nearly all of the funds it collects each year are collected from individuals is irrelevant. Moreover, Normandy has not reported as a federal committee or as a state committee; thus, it is impossible at this time to evaluate the veracity of Normandy's response.

Given the relatively modest amount at issue (i.e., \$500), the Commission may not wish to expend the resources necessary to pursue this apparent violation. Therefore, this Office recommends that the Commission find reason to believe that Normandy Township Regular Democratic Club and Everet Ballard, as Treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a), send an admonishment letter, but take no further action and close the file as to these respondents.

(2) <u>Health Care Leadership Committee</u>

HCLC is registered as a federal political committee. According to its FEC Disclosure responses the contribution to which the complaint refers came from HCLC's federal account.

HCLC's has asserted that "all contributions received from the inception of the organization have been from individuals." Therefore, this Office recommends that the Commission find no reason to believe that Health Care Leadership Committee violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a) or 11 C.F.R. § 102.5 with regard to these facts.

(3) Citizens for Good Government

The CGG Committee is not a federal political committee, and thus must comply with 11 C.F.R. § 102.5 when making contributions to federal candidates and committees. Given that this Committee has not apparently established a separate federal account in accordance with 11 C.F.R. § 102.5(b)(1)(i), it must demonstrate through a reasonable accounting method that it had received sufficient funds subject to the limitations and prohibitions of the Act to make the \$1,000 contribution in question. 11 C.F.R. § 102.5(b)(1)(ii). However, the CGG Committee is apparently now a terminated state political committee. According to the Committee's Disclosure Report filed with the Missouri Ethics Commission covering the first calendar quarter of 2000, the Committee's only activity was the \$900 contribution to the Dooley Committee at issue here. The CGG

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23



- 1 Committee's beginning balance for the quarter was \$6,000.34; at present, this Office has no
- 2 information about the sources from which the CGG Committee raised this amount. However, given
- 3 that this Committee is apparently defunct and that the amount in question is less than \$1,000, this
- 4 Office recommends that the Commission take no action against Citizens for Good Government and
- 5 Francis Brady, as Treasurer, and close the file with regard to these respondents.

(4) Committee to Elect Jeff Wagener

The Committee to Elect Jeff Wagener is not a federal political committee, and thus must comply with 11 C.F.R. § 102.5 when making contributions to federal candidates and committees. Given that the Committee has not established a separate federal account in accordance with 11 C.F.R. § 102.5(b)(1)(i), the Committee must demonstrate through a reasonable accounting method that it had received sufficient funds subject to the limitations and prohibitions of the Act to make the \$1,000 contribution in question. 11 C.F.R. § 102.5(b)(1)(ii). The Committee argues that it "had sufficient funds in excess of \$1,000.00 in its account . . . not from corporations, labor organizations, foreign nationals, or government contractors." However, the Committee does not supply an explanation of the accounting method under which it makes this assertion.

According to the Committee to Elect Jeff Wagener's Committee Disclosure Report filed with the Missouri Ethics Commission covering the first calendar quarter of 2000 (that is, the quarter in which it made the contribution to the Dooley Committee in question here), it received \$5,050.00 in contributions during the quarter. Approximately 54% of these contributions, \$2,300,00, came from individuals. None of these contributions from individuals exceeded \$500.00; i.e., none of the contributions exceeded the federal contribution limitations (see 2 U.S.C. § 441a). Thus, the Committee to Elect Jeff Wagener appeared to have received sufficient federally permissible funds during the first calendar quarter of 2000 to cover a \$1,000 contribution to the Dooley Committee.

- 1 Therefore, this Office recommends that the Commission find no reason to believe that the
- 2 Committee to Elect Jeff Wagener and Mark Monia, as Treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b or 11
- 3 C.F.R. § 102.5.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

(5) Committee to Elect Rita Days

The Committee to Elect Rita Days is not a federal political committee, and thus must comply with 11 C.F.R. § 102.5 when making contributions to federal candidates and committees. Given that the Committee has not established a separate federal account in accordance with 11 C.F.R. § 102.5(b)(1)(i), the Committee must demonstrate through a reasonable accounting method that it had received sufficient funds subject to the limitations and prohibitions of the Act to make the \$1,000 contribution in question.

The treasurer for the Committee to Elect Rita Days stated that he has reviewed all contributions received by the Committee in the two years preceding the \$500 contribution to the Dooley Committee in question, and found no contributions received from national banks, corporations or labor unions. Given that the conclusory allegations of the complaint give no reason to question this assertion, and given the amount in question (\$500). this Office recommends that the Commission find no reason to believe that the Committee to Elect Rita Days and Frank S. Days. as Treasurer violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b or 11 C.F.R. § 102.5.

(6) The Dooley Committee and Charlie Dooley

The Dooley Committee accepted a contribution from Normandy, which is apparently a corporation. Thus, the Dooley Committee appears to have violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a). Given the

The response from the Committee to Elect Rita Days did not specifically address the question of whether it accepted contributions from individuals in amounts in excess of the federal contribution limits while the Missouri candidate contribution limits were enjoined. However, given that the first \$1,000.00 of any such contributions in excess of the federal contribution limits would have been permissible to use for federal contributions, and given that the amount of the contribution to Dooley for Congress in question is relatively small, it seems unlikely that the Days Committee would not have had sufficient federally permissible funds to cover the contribution to Dooley for Congress.

- 1 relatively modest amount at issue (i.e., \$500.00), the Commission may not wish to expend the
- 2 resources necessary to pursue this violation. Therefore, this Office recommends that the
- 3 Commission find reason to believe that Dooley for Congress and Everet Ballard, as Treasurer,
- 4 violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a) with regard to the contribution from Normandy, send an admonishment
- 5 letter, but take no further action and close the file as to these respondents.
- This Office also recommends, for the reasons outlined above, that the Commission find no
- 7 reason to believe that Dooley for Congress and Everet Ballard, as Treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C.
- 8 § 441b(a) with regard to the contributions from the Health Care Leadership Committee, the
- 9 Committee to Elect Jeff Wagener, and the Committee to Elect Rita Days. Finally, given that
- 10 Citizens for Good Government is apparently defunct and that the amount in question is less than
- \$1,000, this Office recommends that the Commission take no action against Dooley for Congress
- 12 and Everet Ballard, as Treasurer, with regard to the contribution from Citizens for Good
- 13 Government.
- Because there is nothing in the complaint to suggest that the candidate, Charlie A. Dooley,
- was personally involved in the receipt of any of the contributions, this Office recommends that the
- 16 Commission find no reason to believe that Charlie A. Dooley violated the Act with respect to the
- 17 contributions referred to in the complaint.

III. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

- 1. Find reason to believe that the Normandy Township Regular Democratic Club and Everet
- Ballard, as Treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a), send an admonishment letter, but take no
- 21 further action.
- 23 2 Find no reason to believe that the Health Care Leadership Committee and John J. Sharamitaro, as Treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a).
- 26 3. Take no action against Citizens for Good Government and Francis Brady, as Treasurer.

25

18

22

43

Staff Previously Assigned: John Vergelli

4. Find no reason to believe that the Committee to Elect Jeff Wagener and Mark Monia, as 1 2 Treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a) or 11 C.F.R. § 102.5. 3 4 5. Find no reason to believe that the Committee to Elect Rita Days and Frank S. Days, as 5 Treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a) or 11 C.F.R. § 102.5(b). 6 7 6. Find reason to believe that the Dooley for Congress Committee, and Everet Ballard as Treasurer, 8 violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a) with regard to the contribution from the Normandy Township 9 Regular Democratic Club, send an admonishment letter, but take no further action. 10 11 7. Find no reason to believe that Dooley for Congress and Everet Ballard, as Treasurer, violated 2 12 U.S.C. § 441b(a) with regard to the contributions from the Health Care Leadership Committee, the Committee to Elect Jeff Wagener, and the Committee to Elect Rita Days, respectively. 13 14 15 8. Take no action against Dooley for Congress and Everet Ballard, as Treasurer, with regard to the contribution from Citizens for Good Government. 16 17 18 9. Find no reason to believe that Charlie A. Dooley violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, with regard to the contributions referred to in the complaint. 19 20 21 10. Approve the appropriate letters. 22 23 11. Close the file. 24 25 Lawrence H. Norton 26 General Counsel 27 28 12/03 29 BY: Rhonda J. Vosdingh 30 Associate General Counse 31 32 33 34 35 Mark D. Shonkwiler Assistant General Counsel 36 37 38